

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,885	03/24/2004	David R. Yee	ORCL-2003-156-01 6635	
7590 05/24/2007 WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			EXAMINER	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/808,885	YEE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alicia M. Lewis	2164				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	arch 2007.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		*				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•	SAM RIMELL				
			PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachmer	nt(s)	<u> </u>					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/808,885

Art Unit: 2164

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to communication filed March 8, 2007. Claims 1, 6, 15, 17 and 23 have been amended. Claims 1-24 remain pending in this application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7, 9-14, 16-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dutta et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0103914 A1) ('Dutta').

With respect to claims 1, 9 and 17, Dutta teaches:

accessing said web page comprising content (paragraphs 33 and 35);

processing the web page through a filter wherein the filter transfers the content of the web page to an analyzer (paragraphs 19, 34 and 37);

analyzing the content of the web page at said analyzer (paragraphs 37 and 126-128);

returning a result of said analyzing to a server (paragraphs 127 and 135); appending the result of said analyzing to the content of said web page (paragraph 137); and

Application/Control Number: 10/808,885

Art Unit: 2164

displaying said web page and said result (paragraphs 135 and 137).

With respect to claims 2, 10 and 18, Dutta teaches wherein said accessing said web page comprising content is performed by an application server operating on a first computing system (paragraphs 17 and 35).

With respect to claims 3, 11 and 19, Dutta teaches wherein said filter is a function of the application server (paragraphs 35 and 37).

With respect to claims 4, 12 and 20, Dutta teaches wherein said server and said filter operate in said first computing system (paragraph 134).

With respect to claims 5, 14 and 22, Dutta teaches wherein said analyzer operates on a second computing system that is communicatively coupled with said first computing system (Figures 1A and 1B, paragraphs 19, 34 and 37).

With respect to claims 7, 16 and 24, Dutta teaches wherein said filter transfers content of the web page to the analyzer in a hypertext mark-up language (HTML) format (paragraph 33).

Art Unit: 2164

With respect to claims 13 and 21, Dutta teaches wherein said request for said web page is generated by a browser operating on said first computing system (paragraph 35).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 8, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutta et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0103914 A1) ('Dutta') in view of Markel et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0156799 A1) ('Markel').

With respect to claims 6, 15 and 23, Dutta teaches claims 1, 9 and 17.

Dutta does not teach wherein said analyzer analyzes said content of said web page for compliance with federal law.

Markel teaches a system and method for verifying and correcting websites (see abstract), in which he teaches wherein said analyzer analyzes said content of said web page for compliance with federal law (paragraph 74).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Dutta by the teaching of Markel because

Art Unit: 2164

wherein said analyzer analyzes said content of said web page for compliance with federal law would enable website providers to diagnose, evaluate, report, and retrofit code violations existing in websites to meet both in-house and industry design standards (Markel, paragraph 52).

With respect to claim 8, Dutta as modified teaches wherein said content of said web page is secure (paragraph 107).

#### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed March 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Dutta fails to teach, "appending the result of said analyzing to the content of said web page," and more specifically that Dutta teaches away from the claimed embodiments by teaching removing search results that do not meet accessibility level requirements. Examiner disagrees.
- Examiner does agree that in one embodiment, Dutta does remove search results that do not meet accessibility requirements. However, according to a different embodiment of Dutta, all entries are maintained, and those that do not meet requirements being appended to include an indicator that the entry does not meet the required accessibility level (paragraph 137). Dutta teaches in paragraph 135 that the search result may be the "content itself". Therefore, Dutta teaches appending the result of said analyzing (indicator that the entry does not meet the accessibility level) to content of said web page (search result).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,885

Art Unit: 2164

Applicant further argues that Dutta does not teach displaying said web page and said result. Examiner disagrees. Dutta teaches in paragraph 137 that the search results (web page), including results appended with an indicator of accessibility level, are provided to the client device via the client device interface; this clearly suggests that the web page and result are displayed. Furthermore, in the explanation of Figure 5, Dutta teaches in paragraph 133 that a user enters a search request into a search engine, such as Yahoo. In the following paragraphs, 134-137, the search request is processed, and finally at the end of paragraph 137 the results are provided to the client, i.e., displayed. It is well known in the art that providing search results from a search query entered into a search engine typically means displaying the results.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2164

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 - 6:30, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on 571-272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alicia Lewis May 16, 2007

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7